

PCT

2 4 MAR 2005 WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference EOP FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International						
AMS.P52519WO				FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittat of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)		
International application No.				International filing date (da	ay/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 07.10.2002
PCT/GB 03/04364 07.10.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification					d IPC	07.10.2002
G01		Paten	Classification (IPC) of bo	on Hallottal Classification an	u II 0	
Applic	ant					
WES	TERI	NGE	CO SEISMIC HOLDI	NGS LIMITED et al.		
1.	This i	nterna	ational preliminary exar	mination report has been applicant according to A	prepared by this Intarticle 36.	ernational Preliminary Examining
	, (0	,				
2.	This	REPO	ORT consists of a total of	of 5 sheets, including thi	s cover sheet.	
						tion plains and bridge which have
		hoon	amended and are the	hasis for this report and/	or sheets containing	tion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority
		•		n 607 of the Administration	e instructions under	rule PC1).
	Thes	e ann	exes consist of a total	or sneets.		
3.	This	repor	t contains indications re	elating to the following ite	ems:	
	1		Basis of the opinion			
	11 111		Priority	oninion with regard to no	ovelty inventive ster	and industrial applicability
	IV		Lack of unity of invent		overty, miveritive etep	, and madelinal approximation
	V	⊠	Reasoned statement		th regard to novelty,	inventive step or industrial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents ci			
	VII			international application		
	VIII			on the international appli		
					Date of completion of	f this report
Date of submission of the demand				Date of domptotion of	,	
15.04.2004				29.03.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international			nal	Authorized Officer	uches Petenten.	
preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2						in all it
	<i>(</i>)	NL Te	2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays I. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 3	Bas	Modesto, C	
-	<u> </u>	Fa	x: +31 70 340 - 3016		Telephone No. +31 7	70 340-1055





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/04364

I.	Basis	of	the	repo	rt
----	-------	----	-----	------	----

1.	With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Des	Description, Pages					
	1-19)	as originally filed				
	.						
	Clai	ms, Numbers					
	1-22	2	as originally filed				
Drawings, Sheets							
	1/6-	6/6	as originally filed				
2.	With lang	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	The	se elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
		the language of publ	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).				
3.			eotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.				
	☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
			he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
		The statement that the listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/GB 03/04364

5. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1,17,18

1-22

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2-16,19-22

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB 03/04364

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement.

1 Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/059647 D2: WO 02/46792 A D3: US-A-5 229 939

2 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A method of processing seismic data, the method comprising:

- a) identifying the value of a first parameter associated with an event in a first set of seismic data (page 8, lines 20 - 26);
- b) obtaining, using at least one look-up table, the value of a second parameter, the second parameter being associated with a corresponding event in a second set of seismic data (page 8, lines 20 - 26).

Hence, claim 1 lacks novelty.

- It is noted that in D1 discloses various ways of determining the velocities (or arrival 2.1 times) for both P and S waves or PP and PS waves. D1 clearly teaches the use of, what is called a time delay dt, in order to obtain a second parameter (e.g. S or PS arrivals) from a first parameter (P or PP arrivals), using a "look-up table" or (in the case of D1) the equivalent delay time dt.
- The expression "look-up table" is not clear and it does not contain any relevant 2.1.1 technical character (Article 84 EPC). Any value that might establish a relation between two or more parameters, can be interpreted as a table (in this case with a single value). Therefore, the expression "look-up table" does not have any technical significance per se. Moreover, the use of tables is extremely well known





INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB 03/04364

in the art of data processing (see e.g. the cited passages in the search report of D3). Also, table 1 on page 12 of D1 could also be considered as being a "look up table".

- It appears that the additional features of claim 17 over claim 1 relate to the determination 3 of two look up tables using a predetermined model for the velocity of propagation of seismic energy within the earth. Since the aforementioned table 1 on page 12 of D1 also appears to have been obtained from a synthetic seismogram, claim 17 also lacks novelty according to Article 33(2) PCT.
- Since claim 17 is the apparatus claim that corresponds to claim 1 and, since it does not 4 appear to contain any additional features over said claim, it also lacks novelty according to Article 33(2) PCT. The reasons being the same as those for claim 1.
- The dependent claims do not appear to contain any features which, in combination with 5 the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty or inventive step.
- Although claims 1 and 17 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they 6 appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT.